



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/982,247 | 10/16/2001 | William K. Meade II | 10004224-1 | 7637 |
| 7590 07/11/2005 | | • | EXAMINER | |
| HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY | | | DAO, MINH D | |
| Intellectual Pro | perty Administration | | | |
| P.O. Box 27240 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 | | | 2682 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | _ | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 09/982,247 | MEADE, WILLIAM K. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | _ | | | |
| | MINH D. DAO | 2682 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with | he correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tte, cause the application to become ABANI | be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under | • | • | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21,23,24 and 26</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,27</u> are subject to restriction and/o | awn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir | ner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac |)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre | , -, , | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. Ints have been received in Application of the following the fo | ication No eived in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Sum | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2,3,4. | _ | ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-21 and 23-26 in the reply filed on 12/27/2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1,4,5,8,9,20,21,23,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lopresti (US 5,889,506).

Regarding claim 1, Lopresti teaches a method of controlling an appliance comprising: establishing a wireless communication link between the appliance and a mobile computing device (see figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38); and controlling the appliance with the mobile computing device including at least one of selectively determining an available content for the appliance, selecting the content used by the appliance, and applying an user preference to the appliance.

Regarding claim 4, Lopresti teaches the method of claim 1 wherein selecting the content used by the appliance further comprises: selecting at least one of an audio

station from an audio station list, an audio song from a memory of the mobile computing device, a TV program from a memory of the mobile computing device, and a TV station on a video device (see col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38).

Regarding claim 5, Lopresti teaches the method of claim 1 and further comprising: providing a plurality of appliances with the appliance being one of the plurality of appliances; and controlling the appliances with the mobile computing device including determining which appliances to control (see figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38).

Regarding claim 8, Lopresti teaches a method of selecting content for an appliance comprising: providing a mobile computing device with at least one of an audio monitor including audio-based content selections, a video monitor including video-based content selections, a data monitor including data-based content selections, a communication monitor including telecommunication selections, and an internet monitor including internet selections; and selecting content with the mobile computing device from at least one of the audio monitor, the video monitor, the data monitor, the communication monitor, and the internet monitor (see figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38).

Regarding claim 9, Lopresti teaches the method of claim 8 wherein and further comprising at least one of: providing the audio monitor to include at least one of an

audio station selection list, an audio song selection list, an audio program selection list, and an audio file selection list; providing the video monitor to include at least one of a television network station selection list, a television program selection list, a program recorder with a play selection list and a program list; providing the data monitor to include at least one of a document selection list, an editor activator, a virtual disc drive selector, and a document scanner receiver selector; providing the communications monitor to include at least one of a phone book selection, a call list selection, an address book selection, a land/cell switcher selection, a fax selection; and providing the internet monitor to include at least one of a browser favorites selection list and a cookies selection list (see figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38).

Regarding claim 20, Lopresti teaches a computing system comprising: a computing workstation including: a wireless communicator; a storage media selector configured for selecting a memory destination that is at least one of unrestricted access and external only access (see fig. 4, item 20; col. 5, lines 38-64); and a mobile computing device including: a wireless communicator; and a memory configured for storing data files and including a virtual disc drive monitor configured for using the mobile computing device substantially the same as an internal hard drive of the computing workstation (see figs. 1, 2 and 4, item 24).

Regarding claim 21, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 20, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 20.

Regarding claim 23, Lopresti teaches a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing a method of controlling an appliance, the method comprising: establishing a wireless communication link between the appliance and a mobile computing device; and controlling the appliance with the mobile computing device including at least one of selectively determining an available content for the appliance, selecting the content used by the appliance, and applying an user preference to the appliance (see figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38; col. 6, lines 17-36).

Regarding claim 26, Lopresti teaches a computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing a method of selecting content for an appliance, the method comprising: providing a mobile computing device with at least one of an audio monitor including audio-based content selections, a video monitor including video-based content selections, a data monitor including data-based content selections, a communication monitor including telecommunication selections, and an internet monitor including internet selections (see figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38); and selecting content from at least one of the audio monitor, the videomonitor, the data monitor, the communication monitor, and the internet monitor (see figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38), wherein the method further comprises: providing the audio monitor to include at least one of an audio station selection list, an audio song selection list, an audio program selection list, and an audio file selection list (see fig. 14;

col. 9, lines 63-67; col. 10, lines 1-5); providing the video monitor to include at least one of a television network station selection list, a television program selection list, a program recorder with a play selection list and a prop-am list (see figs. 10-12; col. 9, lines 10-61); providing the data monitor to include at least one of a document selection list, an editor activator, a virtual disc drive selector, and a document scanner receiver selector (see col. 10, lines 44-54); providing the communications monitor to include at least one of a phone book selection, a call list selection, an address book selection, a land/cell switcher selection, a fax selection (since the Remote Unit 24 of Lopresti can be a PDA, it should inherently include a call list that is available to users); and providing the internet monitor to include at least one of a browser favorites selection list and a cookies selection list (see col. 10, lines 55-61).

2. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kobayashi (US 2002/0033760 A1).

Regarding claim 10, Kobayashi teaches A method of controlling household appliances comprising: wirelessly establishing communication between a mobile computing device and a household appliance including at least one of a thermostat, a beverage maker, an alarm, and a lighting device; and selectively activating and controlling the household appliances with the mobile computing device (see figs. 1 and 2; sections [0010-0013]).

2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 2,7,24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopresti (US 5,889,506) in view of Freeman (US 5,579,239).

Regarding claim 2, Lopresti, as mentioned above, teaches the limitations of claim 1 but fails to disclose controlling determining the available content comprises: supplying to the appliance a copy of at least one of a movie, a TV program, an audio song, an audio program, and an audio file. This limitation is taught by Freeman in an analogous art (see fig. 1, the abstract, and col. 2, lines 40-58). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invocention was made to provide the teaching of Freeman to Lopresti in order to transmit audio/video files for immediate broadcast over RF or cellular frequency (see Freeman, col. 2, lines 35-39).

Regarding claim 7, the claim has the limitations as that of claims 1 and 2, and therefore is interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejections of claims 1 and

Regarding claim 24, the claim has the limitations as that of claim 2, and therefore is

interpreted and rejected for the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 2.

4. Claims 3,6, 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Lopresti (US 5,889,506) in view of Devara (US 6,813,619).

Regarding claim 3, Lopresti, as mentioned above, teaches the limitations of claim 1 but

fails to disclose that wherein in controlling the appliance, applying the user preference

further comprises: supplying to the appliance the user preference including at least one

of an audio station selection list, an audio program selection list, a TV program selection

list, an auto-activation selection list, a volume selection, and an auto-printing selection

list. Devara, in an analogous art teaches this limitation (see figs. 1 and 2A; col. 1, lines

55-64; col. 2, lines 18-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the teaching of Devara to

Lopresti in order to selectively control the operation of the electronic device to provide

the personal preferences of the user as taught by Devara (see col. 2, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Lopresti and Devara teaches a method of

controlling an appliance comprising: wirelessly exchanging user preference information

between a mobile computing device and an appliance; operating the appliance with the

mobile computing device based on the user preference information (see Devara, figs. 1

Page 9

and 2A; col. 1, lines 55-64; col. 2, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 11, the combination of Lopresti and Devara teaches a method of

controlling appliances comprising: establishing wireless communication between a

mobile computing device and an appliance (see Lopresti, figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines

38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38); automatically applying an user preference of the mobile

computing device to the appliance (see Devara; col. 4, lines 38-61); automatically

requesting the appliance to perform a task using a preferred content that is at least one

of a content available through the appliance and a content supplied from the mobile

computing device to the appliance; and observing the appliance perform the requested

task using the applied user preferences (see Lopresti, figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-

64; col. 2, lines 20-38).

Regarding claim 12, the combination of Lopresti and Devara teaches an appliance

control system comprising a mobile computing device configured for controlling an

appliance including selectively determining an available content for the appliance (see

Lopresti, figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38), selecting the content

used by the appliance, and applying an user preference to the appliance (see Devara;

col. 4, lines 38-61).

Regarding claim 13, the combination of Lopresti and Devara teaches the system of

claim 12 wherein the mobile computing device comprises: a memory including at least

one of an internal disc drive and, a silicon based storage device optionally including an

atomic resolution storage device (see Devara, col. 4, lines 19-26).

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Lopresti and Devara teaches the system of

claim 13 and further comprising: a network communication link; and a web site including

an application service provider configured to supply content suitable for performing a

task on the appliance and configured to store the content on the mobile computing

device via wireless exchange through the network communication link (see Lopresti,

col. 10, lines 55-61).

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Lopresti and Devara teaches the system of

claim 12 wherein the mobile computing device comprises at least one of a personal

digital assistant, a mobile phone, a portable audio file player, and a handheld computer

(see Lopresti, figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38).

Regarding claim 16, the combination of Lopresti and Devara teaches the system of

claim 12 wherein the appliance for which the mobile computing device is configured to

control comprises at least one of a video device, an audio device, a mobile phone, a

multifunction printer, a web site, a thermostat, an alarm clock, a beverage maker, and a

lighting unit (see Lopresti, figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines 20-38).

Application/Control Number: 09/982,247

Art Unit: 2682

Page 11

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Lopresti and Devara teaches an appliance

control system comprising: a mobile computing device (see Devara, col. 3, lines 51-61)

including: a controller (see Devara, fig. 2A, item 2A); a memory configured for storing

content and user preferences (see Devara, col. 3, lines 51-61); a wireless

communicator configured for wireless communication with an appliance (see fig. 2A); a

display with a user interface (see fig. 2B, item 17); and an appliance content selector

configured for selecting content to be performed by an appliance (see Lopresti, fig. 5,

items 77, 79).

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Lopresti and Devara teaches the appliance

control system of claim 17 and further comprising: at least one of: an audio device

configured for wireless communication with the mobile computing device and configured

for operative control by the mobile computing device, the audio device including:

a receiver;

a station selector;

a song search function;

a media player;

a memory; and

a wireless communicator (see Lopresti, figs. 1, 2 and 4; col. 5, lines 38-64; col. 2, lines

20-38). The system of Lopresti in fig. 4 disclose a VCR and Laser Disc that would

obviously include all of the limitation as claimed above; and a video device configured

Application/Control Number: 09/982,247

Art Unit: 2682

for wireless communication with the mobile computing device and configured for

Page 12

operative control by the mobile computing device, the video device including:

a program receiver;

a memory;

a wireless communicator;

a program recorder; and

a media player.

Regarding claim 19, the combination of Lopresti and Devara teaches the appliance

control system of claim 17 wherein the mobile computing device further comprises: an

embedded web server configured for producing a web page representing the mobile

computing device including at least one of an user preference list and an user

preference database, and the web page optionally representing a transitivity of

preferences across appliances (see Devara, col. 4, lines 19-38).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 25 is allowed.

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

7. Regarding claim 25, the closest art of record are: Lopresti (US 5,889,506) in view

of Devara (US 6,813,619). The combination of the teachings of Lopresti and Devara

teaches A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions for performing a method of setting and applying user preferences for controlling an appliance with a mobile computing device, the method comprising: automatically performing select tasks with the appliances with at least one of the following user-determined functions: volume level, lighting level, file interactions and file transfers, appliance auto-activation, and default media selections including default program and default station lists. However, Lopresti and Devara fail to teach grouping the appliances, to carry substantially the same user preferences, by at least one of an appliance location, an appliance type and custom criteria; and entering the user preferences for storage in the mobile computing device from at least one of computer workstation and another appliance via a web page of an embedded web server of the mobile computing device.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH D. DAO whose telephone number is 571-272-7851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/982,247 Page 14

Art Unit: 2682

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NICK CORSARO can be reached on 571-272-7876. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Dao M/9 Art Unit 2682 June 6, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600